arXiv:1207.4420v1 [cs.SY] 18 Jul 2012

ON THE NUCLEAR NORM HEURISTIC FOR A HANKEL MATRIX RECOVERY PROBLEM

LIANG DAI, KRISTIAAN PELCKMANS

Division of Systems and Control,
Department of Information Technology,
Uppsala University, Sweden

1. PROBLEM STATEMENT

This note gives a proof of the fact that one can recover a first-order LTI system (i.e. an exponential impulse response) from the upper-diagonal part of the corresponding Hankel matrix using the nuclear norm heuristic [3]. This elementary problem finds application in tasks of system identification, as e.g in [1]. Note that this problem resorts traditionally to the theory of Kalman-Ho on realization, see e.g. [4]. However, the use of those results leads to sub-optimal estimates, and recently there is interest in using suitable convex relaxations to address this issue. The use of the nuclear norm is seen as a good candidate for a convex relaxation, and the present manuscript gives sound arguments why this works in a first order case. However, negative empirical results on the use of the nuclear norm for general LTI systems with noisy observations were reported in [2]. Recently, the use of 'atomic norms' in this setting was advocated, see [5].

Theorem 1. *Given $h \in \mathbb{R}$ and $-1 \leq h \leq 1$, define the vector $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{h} = [1, h, h^2, \ldots, h^{n-1}]^T$, matrices $G, G_0, \hat{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, and $G_0 = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}^T$. Let $\mathcal{H}_n$ be the set of all $n \times n$ Hankel matrices, such that $G, G_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. The optimization problem is as follow:*

$$\hat{G} = \arg\min_{G\in\mathcal{H}_n} \|G\|_* \ \text{ s.t. } \ G(i,j) = G_0(i,j), \forall i+j \leq n+1, \tag{1}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_*$ represents the nuclear norm of a matrix. Then we have that

$$\hat{G} = G_0.$$

The remainder of this note gives a proof of this statement.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Lemma 1 gives a sufficient condition for recovery of G_0 to take place by solving (1). Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 will then assert that this condition is satisfied under the assumptions of Theorem 1.

In the following, define $\mathbf{h}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|_2}$. Let $H_n \in \mathcal{H}^{n\times n}$ be a Hankel matrix so that the elements in the upper triangle part and the main anti-diagonal are all zero. For instance, when $n = 3$,

$$H_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_1 \\ 0 & v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Specifically, we define H for any G_0 as in Theorem 1, and for any $G \in \mathcal{H}_n$ which satisfies the equality constraints of (1):

$$H = G_0 - G, \tag{2}$$

Indeed, this H has an lower anti-diagonal form by construction. We need the following property of the nuclear norm

Proposition 1. *Given any $A, M \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ matrix, then*

$$\|A\|_* = \sup_{\|M\|_2 \le 1} \operatorname{tr}(MA). \tag{3}$$

Lemma 1. *Define $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ as $P = (\mathbf{h}_1\mathbf{h}_1^T)$ and $Q = I_n - P$, and let H as in eq. (2). If*

$$|\operatorname{tr}(PH)| \le \|QHQ\|_*, \tag{4}$$

then the optimization problem (1) recovers G_0 exactly..

Proof. Let $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times(n-1)}$ be a basis for Q. Hence the sub-gradients of $\|\cdot\|_*$ at $(\mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}^T)$ is equal to the following set (see e.g. [3]):

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ S = (\mathbf{h}_1\mathbf{h}_1^T) + VBV^T,\ \forall B : \|B\|_2 \le 1 \right\}. \tag{5}$$

So, we need to prove that for any H which satisfies the conditions as mentioned in the theorem, there exists one element in $\mathcal{S}$, i.e. one B with $\|B\|_2 \le 1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle H, (\mathbf{h}_1\mathbf{h}_1^T) + VBV^T \right\rangle \ge 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{tr}(HP) \ge \langle H, -VBV^T\rangle \\ &\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{tr}(HP) \ge \langle V^T HV, -B\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Now, from assumption (4), we have that

$$|\operatorname{tr}(HP)| \le \|QHQ^T\|_*, \tag{7}$$

and since by definition of Q as a projection matrix onto an $n-1$ dimensional subspace, one has that

$$\|QHQ^T\|_* = \|V^T HV\|_*, \tag{8}$$

it follows that

$$|\operatorname{tr}(HP)| \le \|V^T HV\|_*. \tag{9}$$

Furthermore, it follows from Proposition 1 that there exists a matrix B_1 with $\|B_1\|_2 \le 1$, such that

$$\|V^T HV\|_* = \left\langle V^T HV, B_1 \right\rangle, \tag{10}$$

or

$$|\operatorname{tr}(HP)| \leq \left\langle V^T HV, B_1 \right\rangle. \tag{11}$$

Conversely, one gets

$$\operatorname{tr}(HP) \geq -\left\langle V^T HV, B_1 \right\rangle = \langle V^T HV, -B_1 \rangle, \tag{12}$$

and hence, the inequality (6) holds for B_1, which proves the result. $\square$

Remark 1. *In the Lemma, the statement is given in terms of the Q matrix (rather than V) since it will be more convenient to work with Q in the forthcoming proofs.*

Lemma 2. *Define $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ as $P = (\mathbf{h}_1\mathbf{h}_1^T)$ and $Q = I_n - P$, and let H as in eq. (2). Then*

$$|\operatorname{tr}(HP)| \leq \|QHQ\|_*. \tag{13}$$

Proof. We distinguish between two cases, either

$$\operatorname{tr}(HP) \leq \|QHQ\|_*, \tag{14}$$

or

$$-\operatorname{tr}(HP) \leq \|QHQ\|_*.$$

We will give a derivation of eq. (14), the latter equation follows along the same lines. Decompose H as a linear combination of basis matrices $\{G_i\}$ as follows. For dimension n, H can be represented as

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i G_i, \tag{15}$$

where $\{G_i\}$ are the basis matrices with the elements of the ith lower anti-diagonal equal to 1 and the others equal to zero. For example for $n = 3$, one has

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_1 \\ 0 & v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix} = v_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + v_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

for $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Define the vector $v = [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. From eq. (3), it follows that eq. (14) is equivalent to prove the existence of $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, for any v such that

$$\sup_{\|M\|_2\leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i \operatorname{tr}\left(QG_iQM - G_iP\right) \geq 0. \tag{17}$$

Since both sides of eq. (14) scale linearly, one can - without loss of generality - consider the case that $\|v\|_\infty \leq 1$. Then, we need to prove the following inequality

$$\inf_{\|v\|_\infty\leq 1} \sup_{\|M\|_2\leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i \operatorname{tr}\left(QG_iQM - G_iP\right) \geq 0. \tag{18}$$

Application of the minimax theory as in [2] gives us that

$$\inf_{\|v\|_\infty\le 1}\sup_{\|M\|_2\le 1}\operatorname{tr}\left(Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}v_iG_i\right)QM-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}v_iG_i\right)P\right)$$
$$\ge \sup_{\|M\|_2\le 1}\inf_{\|v\|_\infty\le 1}\operatorname{tr}\left(Q\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}v_iG_i\right)QM-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}v_iG_i\right)P\right).$$

So, if we can prove that

$$\sup_{\|M\|_2\le 1}\inf_{\|v\|_\infty\le 1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}v_i\operatorname{tr}(QG_iQM-G_iP)\ge 0, \tag{19}$$

then we are done. Now, define for a given matrix M

$$J_n(M)=\inf_{\|v\|_\infty\le 1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}v_i\operatorname{tr}(QG_iQM-G_iP).$$

The infimum is obtained by setting the different elements v_i for $i=1,\dots,n-1$ as follows. If $\operatorname{tr}(QG_iQM-G_iP)>0$, then the minimal value of $J_n(M)$ is obtained when v_i equals -1. Conversely, if $\operatorname{tr}(QG_iQM-G_iP)<0$, then the minimal value of $J_n(M)$ is obtained when v_i equals 1. If $\operatorname{tr}(QG_iQM-G_iP)=0$, then v_i can be chosen arbitrary. Adding this for all $i=1,\dots,n-1$ implies that

$$\sup_{\|M\|_2\le 1}J_n(M)\le 0.$$

So, we are left to prove that $\sup_{\|M\|_2\le 1}J_n(M)=0$. In order to prove this, we need to prove the existence of a M with $\|M\|_2\le 1$ such that

$$\operatorname{tr}(QG_iQM-G_iP)=0,\ \forall i=1,2,\dots,n-1.$$

This follows from the following Lemma. ☐

Lemma 3. *Given* $-1\le h\le 1$. *There exists a matrix* $M\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ *with* $\|M\|_2\le 1$*, such that*

$$\operatorname{tr}(QG_iQM-G_iP)=0,\ \forall i=1,2,\dots,n-1, \tag{20}$$

where the matrices $G_i,H,P,Q\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ *are defined as before.*

Proof. We will give a construction of such a matrix M. Let $R>0$ be defined as

$$R^2=1+h^2+\cdots+h^{2n-2}.$$

We construct two matrices $Q_1\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ and $Q_2\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ which satisfy the following relations:

$$R^2(Q_1+Q_2)=R^2Q=R^2(I_n-P)=R^2I_n-\begin{bmatrix}1 & h & h^2 & \cdots & h^{n-1}\\ h & h^2 & h^3 & \cdots & h^n\\ h^2 & h^3 & h^4 & \cdots & h^{n+1}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ h^{n-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & h^{2n-2}\end{bmatrix},$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of size n, and

$$R^2(Q_1 - Q_2)$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} -h^n & -h^{n+1} & -h^{n+2} & \cdots & -h^{2n-2} & h+\cdots+h^{2n-3} \\ -h^{n+1} & -h^{n+2} & \vdots & -h^{2n-2} & h+\cdots+h^{2n-3} & -1 \\ -h^{n+2} & \vdots & -h^{2n-2} & h+\cdots+h^{2n-3} & -1 & -h \\ \vdots & -h^{2n-2} & h+\cdots+h^{2n-3} & -1 & \vdots & \vdots \\ -h^{2n-2} & h+\cdots+h^{2n-3} & -1 & \vdots & \vdots & -h^{n-3} \\ h+\cdots+h^{2n-3} & -1 & -h & \cdots & -h^{n-3} & -h^{n-2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

These matrices Q_1, Q_2 obey the following properties:

- *Fact 1:*
$$(Q_1 + Q_2)(Q_1 - Q_2) = (Q_1 - Q_2)(Q_1 + Q_2).$$
- *Fact 2:*
$$(Q_1 + Q_2)(Q_1 + Q_2) = (Q_1 - Q_2)(Q_1 - Q_2). \tag{21}$$
- *Fact 3:*
$$Q_1 Q_2 = Q_2 Q_1 = 0.$$
- *Fact 4:*
$$Q_1^2 = Q_1, \;\; Q_2^2 = Q_2.$$

Basically, Fact 3 says that matrices Q_1 and Q_2 are orthogonal to each other, and Fact 4 says that Q_1 and Q_2 are all projection matrices. As Q_1 and Q_2 are orthogonal to each other and are all projection matrices, hence the matrix $(Q_1 - Q_2)$ will have eigenvalues either 1,-1 or 0. This means in turn that the spectral norm of $(Q_1 - Q_2)$ is lower than 1. This leads us to consider the following choice of M:

$$M = -h^n(Q_1 - Q_2). \tag{22}$$

Now we will prove that this matrix M satisfies all the conditions given in the Lemma based on these Facts. First, notice that the equalities of (20) are equivalent to

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(G_i(QMQ - P)\right) = 0, \; \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1. \tag{23}$$

The terms in eq. (23) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} QMQ - P &= -h^n(Q_1 + Q_2)(Q_1 - Q_2)(Q_1 + Q_2) - P \\ &= -h^n(Q_1 - Q_2) - P \\ &= M - P. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that M will have the same elements as P in the lower anti-diagonal part, so eq. (23) holds. Since also $\|M\|_2 = |h|^n \leq 1$, also eq. (20) holds as desired for this choice of M.

We are left to prove the facts 1,2,3 and 4. Facts 1, 3 and 4 are given by the following reasoning. For Fact 1, we need to notice that the vector $\mathbf{h}$ (which is defined in Theorem 1) lies in the null space of the matrix $Q_1 - Q_2$. For Fact 3, notice that it is a direct result of Fact 1 and Fact 2 if we expand the terms. For Fact 4, we need to notice that the eigenvalues of Q_1 and Q_2 equal either 0 or 1.

Fact 2 requires further work: the equality of (21) follows from

$$R^2(Q_1+Q_2)R^2(Q_1+Q_2)=R^2(Q_1-Q_2)R^2(Q_1-Q_2).$$

By construction, we get that

$$R^2(Q_1+Q_2)R^2(Q_1+Q_2)=R^4I_n-R^2(\mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}^T).$$

We will give expression of the elements of the matrix $R^2(Q_1-Q_2)R^2(Q_1-Q_2)$ by calculating the off-diagonal entries and the on-diagonal entries separately.

- *Off-diagonal elements (except for the last column and last row).* Take for any $1\le k_2,k_1<n$ the corresponding columns from the matrix $\Delta=R^2(Q_1-Q_2)$ as

$$\Delta_{k_1}=\Bigg[-h^{n+k_1},\cdots,-h^{2n-3+k_1-k_2},-h^{2n-2+k_1-k_2}\\ ,-h^{2n-1+k_1-k_2},-h^{2n+k_1-k_2}\\ ,\cdots,-h^{2n-2},\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}h^{2i-1},-1,\cdots,-h^{k_1-1}\Bigg]^T,$$

  and

$$\Delta_{k_2}=\Bigg[-h^{n+k_2},\cdots,-h^{2n-3},-h^{2n-2},\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}h^{2i-1},-1\\ ,\cdots,-h^{k_2-k_1-2},-h^{k_2-k_1-1},-h^{k_2-k_1},\cdots,-h^{k_2-1}\Bigg]^T.$$

  So, the (k_1,k_2) and (k_2,k_1) elements of $R^2(Q_1-Q_2)R^2(Q_1-Q_2)$ are given by the inner-product:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{k_1}^T\Delta_{k_2} &= (h+h^3+\cdots+h^{2n-5}+h^{2n-3})(-h^{2n-1-k_2+k_1}-h^{k_2-k_1-1})\\ &\quad+(h^{2n-k_2+k_1}+h^{2n-k_2+k_1+2}+\cdots+h^{2n-4+k_2-k_1})\\ &\quad+(h^{2n+k_2+k_1}+h^{2n+k_2+k_1+2}+\cdots+h^{4n-4-k_2+k_1})\\ &\quad+(h^{k_2-k_1}+h^{k_2-k_1+2}+\cdots+h^{k_1+k_2-2}).\end{aligned}$$

  Reorganizing the equation, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{k_1}^T\Delta_{k_2} &= -(h^{2n-2+k_2-k_1}+h^{2n+k_2-k_1}+\cdots+h^{2n-2+k_2+k_1})\\ &\quad-(h^{k_2+k_1}+h^{k_2+k_1+2}+\cdots+h^{2n-4+k_2-k_1})\\ &= -(h^{k_2+k_1}+h^{k_2+k_1+2}+\cdots+h^{2n-2+k_2+k_1})\\ &= -h^{k_1+k_2}R^2.\end{aligned}$$

- *Off-diagonal elements (in the last column and last row).* Take for any $1\le k<n$ and n the corresponding columns from the matrix $\Delta=R^2(Q_1-Q_2)$ as:

$$\Delta_k=\left[-h^{n+k},-h^{n+k+1}\cdots,-h^{2n-2},\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}h^{2i-1},-1,\cdots,-h^{k_1-1}\right]^T,$$

and

$$\Delta_n = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h^{2i-1}, -1, \cdots, -h^{n-k-3}, -h^{n-k-2}, -h^{n-k-1}, \cdots, -h^{n-2}\right]^T.$$

So, the (k,n) and (n,k) elements of $R^2(Q_1 - Q_2)R^2(Q_1 - Q_2)$ is given by their inner-product

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_k^T \Delta_n &= -(h^{n+k+1} + h^{n+k+3} + \cdots + h^{3n+k-3}) \\ &\quad +(h^{n+k+1} + h^{n+k+3} + \cdots + h^{3n-k-5}) \\ &\quad -(h^{n-k-1} + h^{n-k+1} + \cdots + h^{3n-k-5}) \\ &\quad +(h^{n-k-1} + h^{n-k+1} + \cdots + h^{n+k-3}).\end{aligned}$$

Reorganizing the equation, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_k^T \Delta_n &= -(h^{n+k-1} + h^{n+k+1} + \cdots + h^{3n+k-3}) \\ &= -h^{n+k-1} R^2\end{aligned}$$

- *The* (k,k) *entries where* $1 \leq k < n$. We need to verify the following equation holds:

$$\begin{aligned}&(h^{2n+2k} + h^{2n+2k+2} + \cdots + h^{4n-4}) \\ &\qquad + (h + h^3 + \cdots + h^{2n-3})^2 + (1 + h^2 + \cdots + h^{2k-2}) \\ &\qquad\qquad = (1 + h^2 + \cdots + h^{2n-2})^2 - (1 + h^2 + \cdots + h^{2n-2})h^{2k},\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to prove that

$$(1 + h^2 + \cdots + h^{4n-4}) + (h + h^3 + \cdots + h^{2n-3})^2 = (1 + h^2 + \cdots + h^{2n-2})^2. \tag{24}$$

This is in turn equivalent to the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}&\Leftrightarrow \frac{(1-h^2)(1-h^{4n-2}) + h^2(1-h^{2n-2})^2}{(1-h^2)^2} = \frac{(1-h^{2n})^2}{(1-h^2)^2} \\ &\Leftrightarrow 1 + h^{4n} - 2h^{2n} = (1-h^{2n})^2.\end{aligned}$$

- *The* (n,n) *entry.* We need to verify that the following equation holds:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h^{2i-1}\right)^2 + (1 + h^2 + \cdots + h^{2n-4}) \\ &\qquad\qquad = (1 + h^2 + \cdots + h^{2n-2})^2 - (1 + h^2 + \cdots + h^{2n-2})h^{2n-2},\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to prove that

$$(1 + h^2 + \cdots + h^{4n-4}) + (h + h^3 + \cdots + h^{2n-3})^2 = (1 + h^2 + \cdots + h^{2n-2})^2.$$

This equality has been verified before in eq. (24).

This proves facts 1,2,3 and 4, which in turn implies the results of Lemma 3. □

In conclusion, application of Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 gives the proof to Theorem 1.

3. CONCLUSION

This note gives a proof of a rank recovery problem where the matrix to be recovered is known to be Hankel. It is shown that this heuristic gives the correct answer in case the correct (noiseless) entries of the upper triangular part of this matrix are given.

REFERENCES

[1] I. Markovsky. Structured low-rank approximation and its applications, Automatica, 44:891909, 2008.
[2] R. T. Rockafellar, Convex Analysis, Princeton University Press, 1996.
[3] B. Recht, M. Fazel, P, A. Parrilo, Guaranteed Minimum Rank Solutions to Linear Matrix Equations via Nuclear Norm Minimization, SIAM Review, Vol 52, no 3, pages 471-501, 2010.
[4] R.W. Brockett, Finite Dimensional Linear Systems, 1970.
[5] I.Markovsky, How effective is the nuclear norm heuristic in solving data approximation problems?, Sysid, 2012.
[6] P. Shah, B. Bhaskar, G. Tang, and B. Recht, Linear system identification via atomic norm regularization, Submitted to CDC2012.